| A AND |
|---------|
| FLORIDA |

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (IN RE-INSPECT | | (CI) |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| AIRS ID#: 1150036 DATE: <u>04/12/200</u> | 06 ARRIVE: <u>~ 8:30 am</u> | DEPART: |
| FACILITY NAME: GATE PRECAST | COMPANY | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 1199 C | DRANGE AVE. N. | |
| SARA | SOTA 34236 | |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JEFFRE | EY NOLAN PHONE: (| 941)957-0270 |
| CONTACT NAME: | PHONE: | |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: | ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/29/2001 (effective date) | / 9/29/2006 (end date) |
| | INOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT | Non-COMPLIANCE |
| 62-297, F.A.C.)? | ducted during this site visit according to EPA Metho hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and c y to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loadin the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimu in practice? | |

| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) |
|--|
| (check 🗹 appropriate box(es) |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate: |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes INO |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) |
|--|
| 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary \boxtimes ; 2) a relocatable \square ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable \square concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check is only one box.</i>) |
| 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a.</i>), <i>thru</i> 2.d.) <i>below</i> .) |

| a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per |]Yes] No]Yes] No |
|---|------------------------|
| | _Yes |
| b) material processed on a monthly basis? |]Yes |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

| | 1) | paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes No |
|----|-----|--|
| | 2) | application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control |
| | | emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No |
| | 3) | removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to |
| | | re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes No |
| | 4) | reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of |
| | | particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No |
| b) | use | e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |

Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ESII

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

04/12/2006

Date of Inspection

 ~ 2007

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility has not taken noticeable measures to control fugitive particulate matter from the production entrance/yard area located closet to 12th Street. Fugitive PM occurs with light wind or vehicle driving on the yard area.